Screening for asbestos-related lung cancer Nea Malila, Tony Miller, Riitta Sauni, Robert Smith, Kurt Straif, Tapio Vehmas

International Agency for Research on Cancer Lyon, France International Conference on Monitoring and Surveillance of Asbestos-Related Diseases Helsinki Criteria Update

11-13 February 2014, Hanasaari Cultural Center, Espoo, Finland

Consensus report

Scand J Work Environ Health 1997;23(4):311-316

Asbestos, asbestosis, and cancer: the Helsinki criteria for diagnosis and attribution



- There was no direct recommendation for lung cancer screening
- "Further studies on the effectiveness of screening programs are needed."

Consensus report 2000

Scand J Work Environ Health 2000;26(5):449-454

International expert meeting on new advances in the radiology and screening of asbestos-related diseases



- Emphasized the limitations of chest x-ray surveillance for lung cancer, other than "Occasionally, a few earlystage lung cancers are also found."
- The value of spiral CT is sufficiently compelling that clinicians and others should consider its use for case evaluation and the clinical management of those at high risk of lung cancer.

International Conference on Monitoring and Surveillance of Asbestos-Related Diseases 2014 11-13 February 2014, Hanasaari Cultural Center, Espoo, Finland



Subject areas to be updated

- CT screening for asbestos-related lung cancer
- Diagnostics and follow-up of asbestos-related diseases
- New asbestos-related disease entities
- Pathology and biomarkers

Reduced Lung-Cancer Mortality with Low-Dose Computed Tomographic Screening

The National Lung Screening Trial Research Team*

ORIGINAL ARTICLE	
Reduced Lung-Cancer Mortality with Low-Dose Computed Tomographic Screening The National Lung Screening Trial Research Teem*	
ABSTRACT	
CrGROuwD	
aggressive and nettropiences nature of lang cancer has diwarned efforts to one mortally from this cancer through the use of screening. The advence of low- e helical compared tomography (CT) altered the landscape of lang-cancer screen- with studies indicating that low-dose CT detects many namora at eady stages. Planional Lang Screening Trid (PLIST) was conducted to determine whether easing with low-dose CT could reduce mortality from lang cancer.	The members of the writing beam levels are listed in the Appendix Jussume or- sponsibility for the integrity of the article. Address reprint requests to 10. Christien D. Breg at the Early Detection Research Goug. Division of Cancer Prevention, National Cancer Institute, 6130 Encou- tion Rehd, Scite 1112, Behenda, MD 20802-7346, or at bengsapmailing gov.
magness 2002 chrough April 2004, we enrolled 53,454 persons at high risk for g cancer at 33 U.S. medical centers. Participants were randomly assigned to un- go three annual screenings with either low-dose CT (36/32) participants) or sin- view posteroanterior chest radiography (26,752). Datawere collected on cases of gameer and deaths from ling cancer that cocattred through December 31, 2009.	*A complete list of members of the Na- Botal Lang Sciencing Trial research team is provided in the Supplementary Appendix, available at NUJM.org. This which (20.1054) NUJMeat102577) was published on Jane 29, 2001, at NUJM.org.
but3 e rate of adherence to screening was more than 99%. The rate of posicive screening rates was 24.2%, with low-dose CT and 6.9% with radiography were all three mus. A total of 6.6% of the posicive screening results in the low-dose CT group of 94.5% in the radiography group were false posicive results. The incidence of group, as compared with 572 cases per 100,000 person-years (1060 cancerd) in the low-dose group, as compared with 572 cases per 100,000 person-years (1941 cancerd) in radiography group (rate ratio, 1.15; 95% confidence interval [CH]. LOS to 1.23), enswere 247 deaths from lang cancer was 640,000 person-years in the radiography group, resenting a relative relative relation in mortal by from lang cancer with low-dose CT enoug 07.00% (95% CL, 6.8 to 26.7 p=0.004). The rate of death from any cases a related in the low-dose CT group, as compared with the radiography group, 6.7% (95% CL, 1.2 to 13.6; p=0.002).	N Engl J Med 2011. organist & normalised and a south
owEurStows reening with the use of low-dose CT reduces mortality from long cancer. (Rended	



There were **20% fewer lung cancer deaths** in the LDCT arm compared with the CXR arm.

There were **6.7% fewer deaths from all causes** in the LDCT arm compared with the CXR arm.

- Recommendations for lung cancer screening (mostly US)
- Largely following NLST in-/exclusion criteria (age 55-74; ≥ 30 pack years, ≤ 15 years since smoking cessation)

European Randomized Controlled Trials

- 6 ongoing trials which have enrolled 32,000 people
- ~ 150,000 person-years of FU
- UKLS trial has started (4,000)
- NELSON final results (mortality data) 2015
- -> Lung Cancer Screening Guidelines are likely to evolve over time

Generalizability of NLST results?

- The asbestos-exposed cohort is aging the window of opportunity to reduce premature deaths is now
- Waiting for RCTs with focus on asbestos-defined high risk groups would mean lost time and opportunity
- Generalizability of NLST results to other high risk group?
- Heavy smokers may have other smoking-related diseases that could negatively impact on outcome, eg COPD, CVD
- Heavy asbestos exposures can result in asbestosis, pleural plaques, mesothelioma, with negative impact on outcomes
- More open questions for optimization of screening of asbestos exposed subjects: lung cancer risk after cessation of exposure, screening interval, etc

Studies of Lung Cancer Screening in Asbestos Exposed Workers

- Published articles of asbestos-exposed persons typically:
 - Are case series
 - Have limited number of subjects
 - Have no control groups
 - Have little follow-up data on mortality
- They provide only inferential evidence about the efficacy of lung cancer screening in adults with a history of asbestos exposure.



International Conference on Monitoring and Surveillance of Asbestos-Related Diseases 2014 11-13 February 2014, Hanasaari Cultural Center, Espoo, Finland



Recommendation from Workgroup 1

Based on the lung cancer LDCT screening studies in heavy smokers, the exposure-response data on asbestos exposure and lung cancer, and the more than additive effect of asbestos exposure and smoking, we recommend the following groups for LDCT screening

- 1) Workers with any asbestos exposure and a smoking history equal to the minimum entry criteria of the NLST study
- 2) Workers with asbestos exposure with or without a smoking history which alone or together would yield an estimated lung cancer risk equal to the minimum entry criteria of the NLST study

Screening recommendations (continued)

- First, existing databases should be assessed for the potential to verify the generalizability of the Lung Cancer Screening RCT results to asbestos exposed adults.
- Second, since our recommendations are based on inferential evidence and modeling, the introduction of lung screening in asbestos exposed workers must be viewed as a research program in order to verify these assumptions. We strongly recommend an international multicenter research project on the effect of LDCT screening among asbestos exposed workers to acquire the necessary evidence.
- Evidence may also be gained through modeling of existing data, especially from the NLST study.